






CENTRE FOR
RESEARCH AND
GOVERNANCE
ON CYBER LAW


Policy decoded

AI REGULATION: INDIA V.
EUROPEAN UNION



Why Compare?

AI is scaling fast across **health** ,
finance  and **governance** .

 **Unregulated AI risks:** privacy harms, bias, and lack of accountability.

Comparisons help shape practical, proportionate laws.




EU: The AI Act

The world's first full AI law.

Risk-based model: Unacceptable
→ High → Limited → Minimal.

High-risk AI: strict transparency,
human checks, audits.

Unacceptable uses (like social
scoring and mass biometric
tracking) are  banned.



India: Current Landscape

India's AI governance operates through a patchwork approach:

 **DPDP Act, 2023** → Data protection baseline

 **IT Act, 2000** → Digital intermediary rules

 **Sector-specific regulations** → RBI, SEBI, healthcare guidelines

 **MeitY guidance (2025)** → Advisory frameworks (non-binding)





Key Differences

ASPECT	EU 📄	INDIA 🧩
Regulatory nature	Binding law	Guidelines + existing laws
Obligations	Mandatory audits & conformity	Voluntary / descriptive
Enforcement	Centralized (EU AI Office)	Innovation-first



What EU Bans / Regulates?

 **Banned:** AI social scoring, blanket biometric ID.

Strict rules:  policing, hiring,  credit scoring — must be transparent, auditable & human-supervised.



Where India stands?

India has not categorically banned any AI applications.



Policy remains reactive and sector-specific.



Pros: freedom to innovate.



Cons: uncertainty for high-risk AI compliance.



PROTECT RIGHTS. ■

ENABLE

INNOVATION. ■

 crgcl.com | Follow CRGCL for:

- Policy Decoded series
 - Tech law analysis
 - Digital rights insights

